

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

* * *

7 WARREN SHERUM,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00223-LRH-CLB

8 Plaintiff,

ORDER

9 v.

10 ERIC BLOODSAW, JR.; LANDSTAR
11 RANGER, INC.,

12 Defendants.

13 Before the Court is Defendants' response (ECF No. 9) to the Court's May 23, 2022, order
14 (ECF No. 6), to which Plaintiff did not reply.

15 After an initial review of Plaintiff's complaint and Defendants' petition for removal, the
16 Court held that Defendants had not established that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction under
17 the diversity of citizenship jurisdiction standard. Though the parties are of diverse citizenship, the
18 Court found that it required more evidence to determine whether the amount in controversy
19 exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs exists because it was not facially apparent from
20 the complaint that it did. ECF No. 6 at 2. The Court provided Defendants with additional time to
21 file summary-judgment-type evidence that demonstrates the amount in controversy requirement is
22 met. *Id.* at 3.

23 In response, Defendants provided a copy of a letter sent by the law firm representing
24 Plaintiff to Defendant Landstar. The letter contained a list and total computation of special
25 damages incurred by Plaintiff as of January 26, 2022. ECF No. 9 at 7. Specifically, it identified
26 that Plaintiff's total damages amount to \$156,729.95, which includes medical expenses totaling
27 \$149,029.95 and lost wages totaling \$7,700.00. *Id.* Based on this evidence, the Court is satisfied
28 that the amount in controversy requirement exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

1 Accordingly, the Court finds that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case through diversity
2 jurisdiction.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED this 21st day of June, 2022.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE